The following guideline is no longer current and its recommendations may no longer be valid. This document is provided for historical purposes only. ARCHIVED: January 2019

Primary Antifungal Prophylaxis for Pediatric Patients with Cancer or Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients

DISCLAIMER

For Informational Purposes Only: The information and contents offered in or in connection with the *Children's Oncology Group Supportive Care Endorsed Guidelines* (the "Guidelines") is provided only for informational purposes to children affected by cancer, their families and their health care providers. The Guidelines are not intended to substitute for medical advice, medical care, diagnosis or treatment obtained from doctors or other healthcare providers.

While the Children's Oncology Group tries to provide accurate and up-to-date information, the information in the Guidelines may be or may become out of date or incomplete. The information and guidelines may not conform to current standard of care, state-of-the art, or best practices for a particular disease, condition, or treatment. Some information in the Guidelines may be intended to be used by clinical researchers in special clinical settings or situations that may not apply to you, your child or your patient.

Special Notice to cancer patients and their parents and legal guardians: The Children's Oncology Group is a research organization and does not provide individualized medical care or treatment.

The Guidelines are not intended to replace the independent clinical judgment, medical advice, screening, health counseling, or other intervention performed by your or your child's doctor or other healthcare provider. Please do not rely on this information exclusively and seek the care of a doctor or other medical professional if you have any questions regarding the Guidelines or a specific medical condition, disease, diagnosis or symptom.

Please contact "911" or your emergency services for any health emergency!

Special Notice to physicians and other healthcare providers: This document is aimed specifically at members of the Children's Oncology Group or Member affiliates who have agreed to collaborate with the Children's Oncology Group in accordance with the relevant procedures and policies for study conduct and membership participation. Requirements and restrictions applicable to recipients of U.S. governmental funds or restrictions governing certain private donations may apply to the use and distribution of the Guidelines and the information contained herein.

The Guidelines are not intended to replace your independent clinical judgment, medical advice, or to exclude other legitimate criteria for screening, health counseling, or intervention for specific complications of childhood cancer treatment. The Guidelines provided are not intended as a sole source of guidance in the evaluation of childhood cancer patients. Nor are the Guidelines intended to exclude other reasonable alternative care. Specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family and healthcare provider.

Warranty or Liability Assumed by Children's Oncology Group and Related Parties: While the Children's Oncology Group has tried to assure that the Guidelines are accurate and complete as of the date of publication, no warranty or representation, express or implied, is intended to be made in or with the Guidelines. No liability is assumed by the Children's Oncology Group or any affiliated party or member thereof for damage resulting from the use, review, or access of the Guidelines.

The "Guideline for Primary Antifungal Prophylaxis for Pediatric Patients with Cancer or Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients" was endorsed by the COG Supportive Care Guideline Committee in October 2015. The entire document is available at: <u>http://www.c17.ca/index.php?clD=86</u> A summary was published (Science M, Robinson P, MacDonald T, Rassekh SR, Dupuis LL, Sung L. Guideline for primary antifungal prophylaxis for pediatric patients with cancer or hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014; 61:393-400) and is available at: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pbc.24847/epdf</u>

The purpose of this guideline is to provide healthcare professionals with evidence-based recommendations on the use of primary antifungal prophylaxis in children with cancer or undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplant.

The recommendations of the endorsed guideline are presented below

I. Summary of Recommendations for Primary Antifungal Prophylaxis for Pediatric Patients with Cancer or Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Recipients

	RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence			
ALL	ALLOGENEIC HSCT				
•	For children 1 month to <19 years of age undergoing allogeneic HSCT, administer fluconazole 6–12 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg/day) intravenous (IV) or oral (PO) from the start of conditioning until engraftment	Strong recommendation, High quality evidence			
•	For the above children where fluconazole is contraindicated,	Strong recommendation,			
	administer an echinocandin as an alternative to fluconazole	Moderate quality evidence			
ALL	OGENEIC HSCT WITH ACUTE GRADE II-IV GVHD OR CHRONIC EXTE	NSIVE GVHD			
•	For children 13 years of age or older undergoing allogeneic HSCT with acute Grade II–IV or chronic extensive GVHD, prophylaxis with posaconazole 200 mg PO TID from GVHD diagnosis until resolution of acute Grade II–IV GVHD or chronic extensive GVHD is suggested	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence			
•	For the above children where posaconazole is contraindicated, fluconazole 6–12 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg/day) IV/PO is suggested as an alternative to posaconazole	Weak recommendation Low quality evidence			
•	For children 1 month to <13 years of age undergoing allogeneic HSCT with acute Grade II–IV or chronic extensive GVHD, fluconazole 6–12 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg/day) IV/PO from GVHD diagnosis until resolution of acute Grade II–IV GVHD or chronic extensive GVHD is suggested	Weak recommendation Low quality evidence			
AU	AUTOLOGOUS HSCT WITH ANTICIPATED NEUTROPENIA >7 DAYS				
•	For children 1 month to <19 years of age undergoing autologous HSCT with anticipated neutropenia for >7 days, administer fluconazole 6–12 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg/day) IV/PO from the start of conditioning until engraftment	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence			

RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence			
PATIENTS WITH AML/MDS				
 For children 1 month to <19 years of age with AML or MDS, administer fluconazole 6–12 mg/kg/day (maximum 400 mg/day) IV/PO during chemotherapy-associated neutropenia 	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence			
• For children 13 years of age or older with AML or MDS, posaconazole 200 mg PO TID is suggested as an alternative to fluconazole in centers where there is a high local incidence of mold infections or if fluconazole is not available	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence			
FOR OTHER PATIENTS WITH MALIGNANCY WITH ANTICIPATED NEUTROPENIA >7 DAYS				
 The panel suggests that antifungal prophylaxis not be given routinely to children with malignancy and neutropenia anticipated to persist for >7 days, outside of patients undergoing HSCT or those with AML/MDS 	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence			
HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant; GVHD, graft-versus-host-disease; AML, acute myeloid eukemia; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome.				

Appendix 1: GRADE

Strength of Recommendations:

Strong Recommendation	When using GRADE, panels make strong recommendations when they are confident that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation outweigh the undesirable effects.
Weak Recommendation	Weak recommendations indicate that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation probably outweigh the undesirable effects, but the panel is less confident.

Strength of Recommendations Determinants:

Factor	Comment	
Balance between desirable	en desirable The larger the difference between the desirable and undesirable	
and undesirable effects	effects, the higher the likelihood that a strong recommendation	
	is warranted. The narrower the gradient, the higher the	
	likelihood that a weak recommendation is warranted	
Quality of evidence	The higher the quality of evidence, the higher the likelihood that	
	a strong recommendation is warranted	
Values and preferences The more values and preferences vary, or the greater t		
	uncertainty in values and preferences, the higher the likelihood	
	that a weak recommendation is warranted	
Costs (resource allocation)	The higher the costs of an intervention—that is, the greater the	
	resources consumed—the lower the likelihood that a strong	
	recommendation is warranted	

Quality of Evidence

High Quality	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect
Moderate Quality	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate
Low Quality	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate
Very Low Quality	Any estimate of effect is very uncertain

Guyatt, G.H., et al., *GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations.* BMJ, 2008; 336: 924-926.

Guyatt, G.H., et al., *GRADE: going from evidence to recommendations*. BMJ, 2008; 336: 1049-1051.