The following guideline is no longer current and its recommendations may no longer be valid. This document is provided for historical purposes only. ARCHIVED: April 2021

Guidelines on Chemotherapy-induced Nausea and Vomiting in Pediatric Cancer Patients - Acute

DISCLAIMER

For Informational Purposes Only: The information and contents offered in or in connection with the *Children's Oncology Group Supportive Care Endorsed Guidelines* (the "Guidelines") is provided only for informational purposes to children affected by cancer, their families and their health care providers. The Guidelines are not intended to substitute for medical advice, medical care, diagnosis or treatment obtained from doctors or other healthcare providers.

While the Children's Oncology Group tries to provide accurate and up-to-date information, the information in the Guidelines may be or may become out of date or incomplete. The information and guidelines may not conform to current standard of care, state-of-the art, or best practices for a particular disease, condition, or treatment. Some information in the Guidelines may be intended to be used by clinical researchers in special clinical settings or situations that may not apply to you, your child or your patient.

Special Notice to cancer patients and their parents and legal guardians: The Children's Oncology Group is a research organization and does not provide individualized medical care or treatment.

The Guidelines are not intended to replace the independent clinical judgment, medical advice, screening, health counseling, or other intervention performed by your or your child's doctor or other healthcare provider. Please do not rely on this information exclusively and seek the care of a doctor or other medical professional if you have any questions regarding the Guidelines or a specific medical condition, disease, diagnosis or symptom.

Please contact "911" or your emergency services for any health emergency!

Special Notice to physicians and other healthcare providers: This document is aimed specifically at members of the Children's Oncology Group or Member affiliates who have agreed to collaborate with the Children's Oncology Group in accordance with the relevant procedures and policies for study conduct and membership participation. Requirements and restrictions applicable to recipients of U.S. governmental funds or restrictions governing certain private donations may apply to the use and distribution of the Guidelines and the information contained herein.

The Guidelines are not intended to replace your independent clinical judgment, medical advice, or to exclude other legitimate criteria for screening, health counseling, or intervention for specific complications of childhood cancer treatment. The Guidelines provided are not intended as a sole source of guidance in the evaluation of childhood cancer patients. Nor are the Guidelines intended to exclude other reasonable alternative care. Specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family and healthcare provider.

Warranty or Liability Assumed by Children's Oncology Group and Related Parties: While the Children's Oncology Group has tried to assure that the Guidelines are accurate and complete as of the date of publication, no warranty or representation, express or implied, is intended to be made in or with the Guidelines. No liability is assumed by the Children's Oncology Group or any affiliated party or member thereof for damage resulting from the use, review, or access of the Guidelines.

II. Prevention of Acute Chemotherapy-induced Nausea and Vomiting

The "Guideline for the Prevention of Acute Nausea and Vomiting due to Antineoplastic Medication in Pediatric Cancer Patients" developed by the Pediatric Oncology Group of Ontario was endorsed by the COG in January 2018.

The source guideline and its focused update are published (Dupuis LL, Boodhan S, Holdsworth M, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2013; 60: 1073-82. and Patel P, Robinson PD, Thackray J, et al. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2017; 2017; 64: e26542.) and are available at: <u>http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pbc.24508/pdf</u> and

http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/pbc.26542/epdf

Implementation tools developed by the guideline developer are available at: https://www.pogo.ca/healthcare/practiceguidelines/chemotherapy-induced-nausea-and-vomiting-cinv/

The purpose of this guideline is to provide evidence-based recommendations for the prevention of acute chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in children. The recommendations of the endorsed guideline are presented below.

Summary of Recommendations for the Prevention of Acute Chemotherapy-induced Nausea and Vomiting (CINV)

RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence
1. How is optimal control of acute CINV defined?	
We recommend that optimal control of acute CINV be defined as no vomiting, no retching, no nausea, no use of antiemetic agents other than those given for CINV prevention and no nausea-related change in the child's usual appetite and diet. This level of CINV control is to be achieved on each day that antineoplastic therapy is administered and for 24 hours after administration of the last antineoplastic agent of the antineoplastic therapy block	

RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence	
2a. What pharmacological interventions provide optimal control of acute CINV in children receiving		
Nighty emetogenic chemotherapy (HEC):		
 Children ≥ 6 months old receiving HEC which is <i>not</i> known or suspected to interact with aprepitant receive: granisetron, ondansetron or palonosetron + dexamethasone + aprepitant 	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
• Children < 6 months old receiving HEC receive: granisetron, ondansetron or palonosetron + dexamethasone	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
 Children ≥ 6 months old receiving HEC which is known or suspected to interact with aprepitant receive: granisetron, ondansetron or palonosetron + dexamethasone 	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
 Children ≥ 6 months old receiving HEC which is not known or suspected to interact with aprepitant and who cannot receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: palonosetron + aprepitant 	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
 We suggest that: Children < 6 months old receiving HEC and who <i>cannot</i> receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: 	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
• Children receiving HEC which is known or suspected to interact with aprepitant and who <i>cannot</i> receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: <i>palonosetron</i>	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
2b. What pharmacological interventions provide optimal control of ac	cute CINV in children receiving	
We recommend that:		
 Children receiving MEC receive: granisetron, ondansetron or palonosetron + dexamethasone 	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
 We suggest that: Children ≥ 6 months old receiving MEC who cannot receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: 	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
 Children < 6 months old receiving MEC who cannot receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: palonosetron 	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
Children receiving MEC which is known or suspected to interact with aprepitant and who <i>cannot</i> receive dexamethasone for CINV prophylaxis receive: <i>palonosetron</i>	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	

RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence	
2c. What pharmacological interventions provide optimal control of ac antineoplastic agents of low emetic risk?	ute CINV in children receiving	
We recommend that children receiving antineoplastic agents of low emetic risk receive: ondansetron or argnisetron	Strong recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
2d. What pharmacological interventions provide optimal control of acute CINV in children receiving antineonlastic agents of minimal emetic risk?		
We recommend that children receiving antineoplastic agents of minimal emetic risk receive: no routine prophylaxis	Strong recommendation Very low quality evidence	
3. What adjunctive non-pharmacological interventions provide contra-	rol of acute CINV in children	
 We suggest that acupuncture, acupressure, guided imagery, music therapy, progressive muscle relaxation and psycho-educational support and information may be effective in children receiving antineoplastic agents. Virtual reality may convey benefit. We suggest that the following dietary interventions may be effective: eat smaller, more frequent meals;' reduce food aromas and other stimuli with strong odors; avoid foods that are spicy, fatty or highly salty; take antiemetics prior to meals so that the effect is present during and after meals; and measures and foods (e.g. "comfort foods") that helped to minimize nausea in the past. 	Weak recommendation Very low quality evidence	
4. What doses of antiemetic agents are known to be effective in child agents?	dren receiving antineoplastic	
We suggest the following aprepitant dose for children ≥ 6 months old: Day 1: 3 mg/kg/dose (maximum: 125mg) PO x 1; Days 2 and 3: 2 mg/kg/dose (maximum: 80mg) PO once daily	Weak recommendation Moderate quality evidence	
We suggest the following dexamethasone dose for children receiving highly emetogenic antineoplastic therapy: 6 mg/m ² /dose IV/PO q6h If given concurrently with aprepitant, reduce dexamethasone dose by half.	Weak recommendation Low quality evidence	
We recommend the following dexamethasone for children receiving moderately emetogenic antineoplastic therapy: ≤ 0.6m ² : 2mg/dose IV/PO q12h > 0.6m ² : 4mg/dose IV/PO q12h If given concurrently with aprepitant, reduce dexamethasone dose by half	Strong recommendation Low quality evidence	

RECOMMENDATIONS	Strength of Recommendation and Quality of Evidence
We recommend the following IV granisetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving highly emetogenic antineonlastic therapy:	Low quality evidence
40 mcg/kg/dose IV as a single daily dose	
We recommend the following IV granisetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving moderately emetogenic antineoplastic therapy:	Moderate quality evidence
40 mcg/kg/dose IV as a single daily dose	
We suggest the following oral granisetron dose for children receiving	Weak recommendation
moderately emetogenic antineoplastic therapy:	Low quality evidence
40 mcg/kg/dose PO q12h	
We recommend the following IV granisetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving antineoplastic therapy of low emetogenicity:	Low quality evidence
40 mcg/kg/dose IV as a single daily dose	
We suggest the following oral granisetron dose for children receiving	Weak recommendation
antineoplastic therapy of low emetogenicity:	Low quality evidence
40 mcg/kg/dose PO q12h	
We recommend the following ondansetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving highly emetogenic antineoplastic therapy:	Moderate quality evidence
5 mg/m²/dose (0.15 mg/kg/dose) IV/PO pre-therapy x 1 and	
then q8h	
We recommend the following ondansetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving moderately emetogenic antineoplastic therapy:	Moderate quality evidence
5 mg/m²/dose (0.15 mg/kg/dose; maximum 8 mg/dose)	
IV/PO pre-therapy x 1 and then q12h	
We recommend the following ondansetron dose for children	Strong recommendation
receiving therapy of low emetogenicity:	Low quality evidence
10 mg/m²/dose (0.3 mg/kg/dose;	
maximum 16 mg/dose IV or 24 mg/dose PO) pre-therapy x 1	
We suggest the following palonosetron dose for children:	Weak recommendation
1 month to < 17 years: 0.02 mg/kg/dose (maximum 1.5 mg)	Moderate quality evidence
IV once pre-therapy	
≥ 17 years: 0.5 mg/dose PO once pre-therapy	

Appendix 1: GRADE

Strength of Recommendations:

Strong Recommendation	When using GRADE, panels make strong recommendations when they are confident that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation outweigh the undesirable effects.
Weak Recommendation	Weak recommendations indicate that the desirable effects of adherence to a recommendation probably outweigh the undesirable effects, but the panel is less confident.

Strength of Recommendations Determinants:

Factor	Comment
Balance between desirable	The larger the difference between the desirable and undesirable
and undesirable effects	effects, the higher the likelihood that a strong recommendation
	is warranted. The narrower the gradient, the higher the
	likelihood that a weak recommendation is warranted
Quality of evidence	The higher the quality of evidence, the higher the likelihood that
	a strong recommendation is warranted
Values and preferences	The more values and preferences vary, or the greater the
	uncertainty in values and preferences, the higher the likelihood
	that a weak recommendation is warranted
Costs (resource allocation) The higher the costs of an intervention—that is, the greater the	
	resources consumed—the lower the likelihood that a strong
	recommendation is warranted

Quality of Evidence

High Quality	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect
Moderate Quality	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate
Low Quality	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate
Very Low Quality	Any estimate of effect is very uncertain

Guyatt, G.H., et al., GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ, 2008; 336: 924-926.